

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11994 of 2023**

=====

Sanjeev Kumar Singh Son of Ram Briksha Singh Resident of Village-  
Dharhara (Mangarh), P.S. and Anchal Dharhara, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar .
2. Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Govt. of Bihar,  
Patna.
3. District Collector, Munger, District- Munger.
4. Additional Collector, Munger, Dist.- Munger.
5. Deputy Collector, Land Reform, Dist.- Munger.
6. Anchal Adhikari, Anchal Dharhara, District- Munger.
7. Parmanand Jha, Son of Not Known Resident of Village- Dharhara, P.S. and  
Anchal- Dharhara, District- Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, G.P.-18

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-05-2024                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the:

*issuance of writ of mandamus or any  
other writ or writs, direction or directions  
commanding upon the Respondent No. 6, the  
Anchal Adhikari, Dharhara, Anchal Dharhara,  
Dist. Munger not a disposes the petitioner from his*



*raiyati land bearing Khesra No. 542 of Khesra No. 19 situated in Mauza Mangarh, P.S & Anchal-Dharhara, Dist. Munger, Thana No. 317, Tauzi No. 445, who wants to give possession of petitioner land to the Respondent No. 7 namely Parmanand Jha without any order of any court rather on the basis of claim made by Respondent No. 7 in Janta Darbar presided by Anchal Adhikari, Dharhara.*

3. At the outset, learned State Counsel pointed out that in the writ petition, allegation has been made against the Circle Officer, Puja Kumari without impleading her as party-respondent and as such, the writ petition is fit to be dismissed for non-joinder of the necessary parties.

4. He further with the help of paragraph-12 of the counter affidavit submits that the B.L.D.R. Case No. 07 of 2022-23 is pending before the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Munger where the parties have already appeared.

5. Though, this case is fit to be dismissed for non-joinder of the necessary parties, in view of the fact that the B.L.D.R. Case is pending before the D.C.L.R., the petitioner if so want can pursue and take the said case to its logical conclusion.



6. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be actively pursuing the said B.L.D.R. Case.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Neha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

