

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54343 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-455 Year-2023 Thana- BIDUPUR District- Vaishali

=====

Vikas Kumar @ Vikash Kumar son of Vimal Rai Village- Nawanagar, P.S.
Bidupur, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saroj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 455 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 427, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on orders of Mithilesh, accused Rakesh and Vikash (petitioner) assaulted him by dab causing rupture of his abdomen, thereafter, Mukesh assaulted by sword causing injury on hand, thereafter, accused tore cloths of his wife making her semi naked and Lalti Devi snatched *Mangalsutra* and *Jitia* of his wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is also submitted that father of the petitioner is own brother of the informant and are having dispute relating to property, as such, the petitioner has been implicated in the instant case by the informant to coerce the father of the petitioner into submission.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that there is a specific allegation against Rakesh and this petitioner of assaulting the informant by dab causing rupture of his abdomen. It is next submitted that the order impugned also records about the injury and from perusal of the same, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured is grievous in nature.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

