

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12430 of 2024

Jay Shankar Prasad @ Jay Shankar Prasad Singh Son of Late Radheshyam Prasad Singh, Resident of Village - Koilawan, P.O. - Koilawan, P.S. - Haspura, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Road Construction, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Chief Engineer, Department of Road Construction, Road Division - I, Aurangabad.
4. The Executive Engineer, Department of Road Construction, Road Division - I, Aurangabad.
5. The Executive Engineer, Rural Work Department, Aurangabad.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sub-Division, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
7. The Circle Officer, Circle Haspura, District Aurangabad.
8. Kshitij Kumar Singh, Son of Late Mukh Lal Singh, Resident of Village - Koilawan, P.S. and Circle - Haspura, District - Aurangabad.
9. Akhilesh Singh, S/o Late Nand Gopal Singh, Resident of Village - Koilawan, P.S. and Circle - Haspura, District - Aurangabad.
10. Dinesh Singh, S/o Late Raja Ram Singh, Resident of Village - Koilawan, P.S. and Circle - Haspura, District - Aurangabad.
11. Krishna Patel, S/o Late Rameshwar Patel, Resident of Village - Koilawan, P.S. and Circle - Haspura, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Anirudh Kumar Verma, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Government Pleader (6)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ petition is being filed in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the concerned Respondents to facilitate way for smooth flowing of water that flows through the



small bridge, constructed on public Road and further for restraining the Private Respondents, who have been creating disturbance by blocking bridge (flow of water), causing the damage of crops as well as smooth passage that is being used since time immemorial.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Respondent No. 2 i.e. District Magistrate, Aurangabad, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances, however, seeks a direction upon him to resolve the issue under consideration within a stipulated time frame. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. It is needless to state that in case, appropriate petition is filed by the petitioner, before the Respondent No. 2, within a period of two weeks from today, the Respondent No. 2 shall ensure resolution of the dispute in question, in accordance with law, forthwith.
4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

