

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12480 of 2024**

1. Chandani Jha Daughter of Jitendra Jha Ward no 04 West, Maharani Asthan, Manik Chowk, P.S. - Manik Chauk, Sitamarhi, Bihar 843323.
2. Kumar Sukesh, Son of Niras Sah, Resident of Ward No. 11, Vill. - Fatehpur Girmisani, Post - Fatehpur Girmisani, P.S. - Fatehpur, Sitamarhi, Bihar - 843302.
3. Santosh Kumar Ram, Son of Paras Ram, Resident of West of Police Line, Ram Chowk, P.S. - Bhavara, Madhubani, Bihar - 847212.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Health Department of Bihar.
2. The Executive Director, State Health Society, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Civil Surgeon-cum-Secretary, Health Society, Sitamarhi.
4. The Incharge Medical Officer, Community Health Centre, Sitamarhi.
5. The District Magistrate Cum Chairman District Health Society, Sitamarhi.
6. Medical Officer in charge of Primary Health Centre, Pupri, Sitamarhi.
7. The Managing Director, Urmila International Services Private Ltd, 31/07, New Incubation Building, 1st Floor, Software Technology Parks of India (STPI), Rajiv Nagar Road, Patliputra Colony, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                              |   |                                                                |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s         | : | None                                                           |
| For the Respondent/s         | : | Mr. Standing Counsel (25)                                      |
| For the State Health Society | : | Mr. (Dr.) Anand Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Rajan Prakash, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      23-08-2024      No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.

2. Mr. (Dr.) Anand Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State Health Society submits that the writ petition is not maintainable against the Society, however, petitioners have civil remedy.



3. Considering the aforesaid submissions and even in spite of the repeated calls, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners since two consecutive dates, I find it proper that the petitioners may avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law for the relief as prayed for in the present writ petition.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

