

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55128 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2024 Thana- PIRPAINTI District- Bhagalpur

VIKRAM YADAV @ VIKRAM KUMAR YADAV S/O RAMDEO YADAV
@ RAMDEV PRASAD YADAV R/O VILLAGE- RAM NAGAR, P.S-
PIRPAINTY, DISTT.- BHAGALPUR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukul Jee
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 14-08-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as
well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection
with Pirpainty P.S. Case No. 03 of 2024 for the offences
punishable under Sections 25(1-b)a,26 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation, the police party in the process of
investigation of Pirpainty P.S. Case No. 02 of 2024, raided the
house of the petitioner, who was found asleep. On search, one
country-made rifle and two live cartridges were recovered from
his bed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. He has further submitted that only one criminal
antecedent has been mentioned in paragraph no. 3 of the bail



petition and due to mistake two other criminal antecedents have been left to be mentioned. He has also submitted that the petitioner was not arrested at the spot. The petitioner is under custody since 03.01.2024.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that during investigation the witnesses have supported the prosecution case. He has further submitted that the petitioner has a criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances as well as the gravity of the allegation and also the fact that the petitioner has a criminal antecedent, I do not think it to be a fit case for bail, which is hereby rejected.

7. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and if the trial is not concluded within a period of one year, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

