

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55450 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2024 Thana- NATHNAGAR District- Bhagalpur

MANNU YADAV @ MANUA S/O LATE ARJUN YADAV R/O
ROGHOU PUR TIKAR, P.S- MADHUSUDANPUR, DIST.- BHAGALPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajive Ranjan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 18-11-2024 Heard Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Chandra Sen Pd. Singh, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection
with Nathnagar (Madusudanpur) P.S. Case No. 67 of 2024 for
the offences punishable under sections 302/201 of the Indian
Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act, lodged on
27.01.2024 by the informant, Nitish Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that his maternal nephew, Prince Kumar went out and later
information came that both Prince Kumar and Shekhar Kumar
have been shot at. They rushed to the place, the injured were
taken to the hospital but as per the subsequent information, both
succumbed to the injuries. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
save and except the confession statement of the accused persons



including the petitioner, there is nothing on record to implicate him in the present case. He, however, accepts that he has a number of criminal cases against him inasmuch as he has been named as an accused in sixteen cases, mostly related to Nathnagar PS.

5. Learned APP, on the other hand, has taken this Court to the different paragraphs of the case diary to submit that Rupesh and this petitioner used to extort the businessmen of the railway platform of Nathnagar railway station and in that course, both Rupesh and this petitioner opened fire which hit the two deceased. They tried to escape but the police and the locals apprehended them along with the fire-arms.

6. Considering the facts that have emerged coupled with the fact that he has criminal antecedent inasmuch as is an accused in almost sixteen cases and most of them are related to Nathnagar PS, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to extend the privilege of bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

