

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6826 of 2017**

=====

Akshaya Kumar Upadhaya S/o Vijay Shankar Upadhaya, Resident of Village-  
Baikantpur Thana, Sikrauli, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The District Appellate Authority, Buxar.
3. District Magistrate, Buxar.
4. The District Superintendent of Education, Buxar.
5. The District Education Officer, Buxar.
6. The Executive Officer, Panchayat Samitte-Cum- Block Development Officer.....Itarhi, Buxar.
7. The Block Education Officer, Itarhi, Buxar.
8. The Secretary, Itarhi Block, Buxar.
9. The Mukhiya, Barka Gao, Itarhi Buxar.
10. Jairam Singh, Son of Ram Govind Singh, Resident of Village- Badki Basauli, District- Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jitendra Kr. Roy No. 1- Sc13

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      24-06-2024      1. Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.
2. The petitioner has filed the present writ application for a direction to the respondent/authorities to consider and appoint the petitioner on the post of Panchayat Teacher and for setting aside the order dated 28.02.2017 passed in Case No. 06 of 2014 passed by Presiding Officer, District Teachers Appellate Authority, Buxar and for quashing the appointment letter issued to respondent no. 10 in the year



2016 which is based on forged documents. The petitioner has directly approached this Court, without approaching the State Appellate Authority.

3. In view of the nature of dispute, the petitioner is granted liberty to approach the State Appellate Authority, by filing an appeal, against the order passed by Presiding Officer, District Appellate Authority, Bauxar.
4. It is made clear that if an appeal is filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today, along with a petition for condonation of delay, the State Appellate Authority shall consider the same and pass a reasoned order, in accordance with law.
5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application is disposed.

**(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)**

HarshPandey/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

