

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12374 of 2023

=====

Shambhu Nath Jha Son of Shri Digambar Jha, resident of village and P.O. -
Sugama via Sonbarsa Raj, P.S.- Salkhua, District - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of
Personnel and Administrative Reforms, now General Administration
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, General Administration Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-District Collector, Saharsa.
4. The Sub-divisional Officer, Simri Bakhtiyarpur, District - Saharsa.
5. The Establishment Deputy Collector, Saharsa, Collectorate, Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Nadim Seraj (Gp5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief:-

“1. That the petitioner respectfully seeks
indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for issuance of
order/direction/ writ, preferably in the nature of
mandamus, commanding and directing the
Respondent authority in particularly the District
Magistrate-cum-District Collector, Saharsa
(Respondent No.3) to consider the claim of
petitioner for granting him promotion in the
vehicle driver category-II, category-I and special
category of vehicle driver, in the light of
Notification No.1468 dated 25.04.2007 issued



from the level of the Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department as well as letter No.36 dated 07.01.2013 issued from the level of District Magistrate, Saharsa, as the petitioner has already completed 15 years of his regular service as vehicle driver in the department and is entitled the said benefits for which the petitioner has already submitted his representation before the District Magistrate, Saharsa on 09.01.2023 along with the required documents, but no action is being taken.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Respondents authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

