

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53673 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-159 Year-2024 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

Chetan Paswan Son Of Late Raviranjana Paswan Resident Of Village -
Gausganj Gangi Pul, P.S. - Ara Nagar, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shweta

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 8(c), 21(b), 29 of the N.D.P.S Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case under the N.D.P.S Act
and the informant alleges that he received an information that
the petitioner was going to sell Heroine near Trimuhani
crossing, accordingly, the informant reached the place of
occurrence and started vehicle checking, when one person on
seeing the police started fleeing, who was arrested and he
disclosed his name as Shashiranjana and from his possession, 40
grams of heroine was seized. Further the apprehended accused
person disclosed that the Narcotics was given to him by his
nephew (petitioner) for supply. The learned counsel for the



petitioner submits that the petitioner was not apprehended from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be implicated, based on confessional statement of his uncle in custody, which does not have any evidentiary value.

4. The learned APP for the State Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that petitioner earlier was also implicated in a case relating to NDPS and his name transpired, based on confessional statement of his own uncle. It is also submitted that investigation is in its initial stages and in the event, if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. The application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

