

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17893 of 2021

=====

Mamita Devi Daughter of Shree Krishna Bhagwan, W/o Dhirendra Singh
Resident of Village- Semri, P.O.- Sikaria, P.S. and Block- Sheo Sagar,
District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principle Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, ICDS Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The District Program Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), Block Sheo Sagar.
6. Rinku Kumari D/o Murli Shah, W/o Jitendra Prasad Shah Resident of Village- Semri, P.O.- Sikaria, P.S. and Block- Sheo Sagar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Smt. Kumari Amrita (GP-3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1.1. For issuance of an appropriate writ/writs commanding the respondent authorities to take the necessary action against the complain presented by the petitioner to take a proper action in the matter appointing the petitioner on the post of Anganbadi Sevika, in Semri Village ward No. 11 of Karup Panchayat under Block- Sheosagar,



District- Rohtas after replacing the private respondent as whose appointment is based on producing forged and fabricated certificate.

II. For issuance of further writ asking the respondent authorities as to on what circumstances the appointment of the private respondent on the said post has been made even the application about her forgery was highlighted by the present petitioner in the year 2017 itself even before the selection of the private respondent upon the said post.

III. For a further direction be issued to the authorities to get the petitioner appointed on the said post treating her the most eligible candidate as she was the second as merit wise in number.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having



competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the
aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is
granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not
pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

