

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17847 of 2021

=====

Rinki Kumari @ Rinki Devi @ Rinku Kumari, Wife of Sri Dhanji Singh,
Resident of Village - Gopal Ke Bathan, Ward No. 10, P.S. - Jagdishpur,
District - Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, ICDS, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The Collector - Cum - District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
5. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), Bhojpur at Ara.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur at Ara.
7. The Lady Supervisor, Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur at Ara.
8. Phul Kumari @ Ful Kumari Devi @ Fool Kumari, Wife of Sudama Singh @ Sudama Yadav, Resident of Village - Gopal ke Bathan, P.S. - Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Siddharth Harsh, Advocate
For the State	:	Smt. Kumari Amrita (GP-3)
For the Respondent No. 8 :		Mr. Surendra Kr. Mishra, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1. i) For quashing of the order
dated 23.02.2021 passed in Misc.
Anganbari Appeal Case No.01/2019
(Phul Kumari vs. The State and
others) (Annexure-9) passed and
issued by the District Magistrate,
Bhojpur at Ara as well as its*



consequential orders whereby and whereunder the appeal preferred by the Respondent No.8 has been allowed and the order dated 21.01.2019 passed by the District Programme Officer (ICDS), Bhojpur has been cancelled.

ii) For restoration of the order dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure-2) passed and issued by the District Programme Officer (ICDS), Bhojpur at Ara and issued vide its memo no. 124 dated 21.01.2019.

iii) For restoration of the services of the petitioner as Anganbari Sevika for Centre No.247 Ward No.10 under the Gram Panchayat-Uttarwari Jangal Mahal, Jagdishpur, Bhojpur, Ara with all consequential benefits of service.

iv) For a direction to the Respondents to restrain the Respondent No. 8 to work as Anganbari Sevika during pendency of this writ application.

v) For a direction to the Respondents to conduct enquiry and take appropriate action against the Respondent No.8 as well as the



other concerned authorities who are responsible for manipulation of the documents as well as facts.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

