

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56446 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Sitamarhi

PINTU KUMAR SON OF BALESHWAR MEHTAR RESIDENT OF
PARIHAR, WARD NO. 15, P.S. - PARIHAR, DISTRICT - SITAMARHI
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32(c) of the Bihar Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 90 liters of liquor from a motorcycle.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized motorcycle and he came to be implicated based on the confessional statement of Baleshwar in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, when petitioner admittedly is a person clean antecedent.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Excise Case No. 81 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. However, it is made clear the learned Trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

