

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4003 of 2021

Sanjay Kumar Rai @ Sanjay Kumar Ray Son of Late Muni Ray resident of Village/ Mohalla- Gaurakshani, Sasaram, P.S.- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate-Cum- Collector, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
3. The Circle Officer, Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. Sanjay Sharma son of Late Gopal Sharma resident of Mohalla- Sarai Dhela, P.O. and Police Station- Dhanbad, District- Dhanbad at present resident of Fazalganj Sasaram, P.O. and Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. Smt. Manju Sharma W/o Anand Sharma D/o Late Gopal Sharma @ Laddu Maharaj, resident of Mohalla- Fazalganj Sasaram, P.O. and Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
6. Om Prakash Pandey son of Late Baijnath Pandey resident of Mohalla- Kotha Toli, Sasaram, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
7. Jakir Hussain son of Samasuddin Gaddi resident of Mohalla- Alamganj, Sasaram, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. Jeetender Kumar Tiwari son of Jokhan Tiwari resident of Village- Khairi, Babhangawan, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19
For the R6 & 8	:	Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-07-2024 Heard Mr. Pankaj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, learned SC-19 for the State as well as Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.6 & 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a representation before the respondent no.3 (The Circle Officer, Sasaram) for mutation of the land



appertaining to Khata No. 57, Khesra No. 2759, area 6 acre 87 decimal situated in Mauza- Sikariya, Thana No. 120 and Khata No.57, Khesra No. 2826, Area 1 acre 35 decimal situated in Mauza-Sikariya, Thana No.120.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the basis of the compromise decree, the aforesaid land in question has been given in favour of the petitioner but despite the representation filed before the respondent no.3, the respondent no.3 has not taken any action for mutation of the land in question in favour of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to file a fresh representation along with relevant documents before the respondent no.3 and respondent no.3 is directed to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner and dispose of the same within a period of three months from the date of the filing of such representation/application in accordance with law.

5. Petitioner and private respondent shall cooperate with respondent no.3.

6. With the aforesaid direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

