

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20630 of 2019

=====

Kalpana Kumari Wife of Amar Kumar Sahni, Resident of Village- Harpur Bhindi, Ward No. 3, P.S. Tajpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Social Welfare Department, I.C.D.S. Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Samastipur.
5. The Lady Supervisor I.C.D.S, Samastipur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Morwa, Samastipur.
7. The Mukhiya Panchayat Harpur Bhindi, Block Morwa, Samastipur.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Harpur Bhindi, Block Morwa, Samastipur.
9. Una Kumari, Wife of Basant Sahni, Village- Harpur Bhindi, Ward No. 3, P.S. Tajpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Anand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prasant Pratap (Gp2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2024 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Learned Counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed

challenging the order dated 03.06.2019 passed by the District

Programme Officer, Samastipur, in Case No.394 of 2019.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner has earlier moved before this Hon'ble Court in

CWJC No.2080 of 2019 in which direction was made to the



petitioner to file representation before the District Programme Officer, Samastipur, and after filing of such representation, the District Programme Officer shall pass a reasoned order. Counsel submits that the District Programme Officer has not considered the order passed by this Hon'ble Court and ignored the material available on record.

4. Learned Counsel for the State submits that statutory appeal is available under the relevant Margdarshika for appointment of Anganwari Sevika and Sahiyka.

5. In this view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed off granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge the same before the Appellate Authority mentioned under the relevant Margdarshika for appointment of Anganwari Sevika and Sahiyka. It is made clear that delay, if any, in filing the appeal shall be directed to be condoned, if petitioner prefers appeal within 30 days after Puja Holiday.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

