

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11233 of 2024

=====

Dinesh Kumar Singh S/o Chandrika Prasad Singh, resident of Mohalla-
Manbodh Nagar, P.S.-Bikramganj, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Vigilance Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Himanshu Rai S/o not known to the petitioner, Special Secretary,
Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resource Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Mukesh Kumar Mukul, S/o not known to the petitioner, Deputy
Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resource Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Mr. Nishant Ahamad, S/o not known to the petitioner, the Director of
Fisheries Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Fisheries Officer, Rohtas.
8. Sanju Devi, W/o Arvind Kumar Singh, resident of Village-Bhadar, P.S.-
Sikraul, District-Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kr. Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1.i) For issuance of writ in the
nature of Mandamus directing the
respondent authorities for holding
enquiry into financial embezzlement
done by the respondent authorities
particularly the respondent District*



Fisheries Officer Rohtas, in connivance with respondent no. 8 Sanju Devi, whereby in contravention of and ignoring the guideline of the government, 5-6 schemes including large 100 tone "Fish Feed Mill" of about 6.50 crores has been sanctioned and subsidy of about 4 crores has been granted for payment in her favour without even fulfilling the basic requirement of those schemes, thereby causing financial embezzlement of public money.

ii) For further direction upon the respondent authorities that after holding enquiry liability be fixed upon the respondent authorities, who are found responsible / involved in swindling the government fund / public money as alleged and disciplinary action be taken against them for committing misconduct on their part.

iii) For further direction upon the respondent authorities to initiate proceeding under Bihar Public Demand and Recovery Act, so that loss of public money could be



recovered.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

