

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51623 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2024 Thana- AMAUR District- Purnia

Deepak Kumar Jha @ Deepak Kumar @ Dipak Kumar Son Of Lalan Kumar
Jha @ Lalit Jha Resident Of Village - Dodara Madhubani, P.S. - Lalitgram,
District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amour
P.S. Case No. 130 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 364A, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that some unknown
persons kidnapped the brother of the informant and demanded
ransom from the informant and also threatened him to kill his
brother if the ransom is not given.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel further submitted that victim has been
recovered in this case. Learned counsel further submitted that no
ransom was transferred into the account of the petitioner and he



has not concern with the occurrence at all. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.03.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that victim in his statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has specifically stated that accused persons including this petitioner have kidnapped him and demanded ransom.

6. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties and material available on record, since the victim has specifically whispered the name of the petitioner as one of the abductor, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, if the trial is not concluded after a period of nine months from today, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for grant of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

