

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54523 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2022 Thana- DANIYAWAN District- Patna

=====

Pramod Ravidas @ Pramod Kumar son of Manju Ravidas R/o Village-
Kohawan Ps-Daniyawon Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 06-12-2024 Heard Mr.Prabhat Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and the Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, learned A.P.P. for

the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
28.08.2023 in connection with Daniyawon P.S. Case No. 118 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 10.08.2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 304(B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of committing
torture and caused death to the sister of the informant due to
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely
been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in



the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and petitioner has been made accused in the present case on the ground that he is husband of the deceased and co-accused person, namely, Manju Ravidas has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 04.08.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.45765 of 2023 and co-accused persons, namely, Binod Ravidas & Ors. have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.45658 of 2023 and nothing has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, on the basis of the material available on the record and the case diary, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is husband of the deceased and after death of the deceased the petitioner and his family members have disposed of the dead body of the deceased without informing the authority concerned.

6. In view of the aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Daniyawan P.S. Case No. 118 of 2022 pending in the court of learned



Additional District Judge-IV, Patna City, Patna.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

