

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51998 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2024 Thana- ALAMGANJ District- Patna

-
1. AARTI KUMARI WIFE OF CHUNNU CHANDRAVANSHI @ SANJAY KUMAR R/V- DUBGAR TOLI, BELWARGANJ, NEAR APPOLO HOSPITAL, GULZAR BAGH, P.S.- ALAMGANJ, DISTT.- PATNA-800007
 2. CHUNNU CHANDRAVANSHI @ SANJAY KUMAR SON OF SRI LALLU MUNSHI @ RAJ KUMAR R/V- DUBGAR TOLI, BELWARGANJ, NEAR APPOLO HOSPITAL, GULZAR BAGH, P.S.- ALAMGANJ, DISTT.- PATNA-800007
 3. SHASHI KUMAR CHANDRAVANSHI @ SHASHI KUMAR SON OF LALLU MUNSHI @ RAJ KUMAR R/V- DUBGAR TOLI, BELWARGANJ, NEAR APPOLO HOSPITAL, GULZAR BAGH, P.S.- ALAMGANJ, DISTT.- PATNA-800007
 4. DEEPAK KUMAR CHANDRAVANSHI @ DEEPAK KUMAR SON OF LALLU MUSHI @ RAJ KUMAR R/V- DUBGAR TOLI, BELWARGANJ, NEAR APPOLO HOSPITAL, GULZAR BAGH, P.S.- ALAMGANJ, DISTT.- PATNA-800007
 5. LALLU MUNSHI @ RAJ KUMAR SON OF LATE LAXMI RAM R/V- DUBGAR TOLI, BELWARGANJ, NEAR APPOLO HOSPITAL, GULZAR BAGH, P.S.- ALAMGANJ, DISTT.- PATNA-800007

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tilak Sao
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 31-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable u/s 304(B), 201, 120(B), 34 of the IPC.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that they along



with other accused persons have killed the sister of the informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case due to grudge. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation leveled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. Petitioner no.1 is the sister-in-law, petitioner nos.2, 3, 4 are brothers-in-law and petitioner no.5 is the father-in-law of the deceased and they have no concern with the deceased or her husband as they used to live separately from them. Husband of the deceased is already in judicial custody. This fact is mentioned in para-14 of this bail application. Petitioner nos.1, 2, 4 and 5 have no criminal antecedent and petitioner no.3 has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since husband of deceased is already in custody, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Alamganj P.S. Case No.383 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

