

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10740 of 2024

1. Ganga Sagar Prasad Singh son of Late Ghamandi Prasad Singh, Resident of Minapur, Post Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur Nagar, District-Vaishali.
2. Amritesh Gautam, Son of Sri Vidya Shankar Singh, Resident of Mohalla Shahi Colony R.N. College Road, Post Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur Nagar, District-Vaishali.
3. Prabin Kumar, Son of Sri Surendra Prasad Mandal, Resident of Mungroua (Chourasiya Path), Post Jamalpur, P.S. East Colony, District Munger.
4. Dr. Ratnakar Rana, Son of Sri Lakshman Singh, Resident of Village Barahi Jagdish, P.S. Purnahiya, District Sheohar.
5. Binay Kumar Singh, son of Late Umesh Prasad Singh, resident of Mohalla-Pokhara, Veer Kuwar Singh Colony, Post Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur, District-Vaishali.
6. Narendra Kumar singh, son of Late Daroga Singh, resident of Mohalla Chhoti Marai, Dhanauti Pokhar, P.S. Industrial, Hajipur, District Vaishali.
7. Rupesh Kumar, son of Late Brij Mohan Prasad, resident of Village Hatsarganj, Post Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur, District Vaishali.
8. Rakesh Kumar, son of Sri Shiv Ranjan Prasad, resident of Mohalla Basant Vihar Colony, Post Dighi Kala West, P.S. Sadar Hajipur, District Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State University Service Commission through its Secretary, 8th Floor Bihar School Examination Board Academic Building, Budha Marg, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State University Service Commission, 8th Floor Bihar School Examination Board Academic Building, Budha Marg, Patna, Bihar.
3. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University through its Registrar, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Principal, Satyendra Narain Singh College, Hajipur, District Vaishali, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent no. 3:	:	Mr.Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate
	:	Mr. Sunil Kr. Karn, Advocate
For B.S.U.S.C.	:	Mr. Harsh Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.



2. Mr. Sanjay Singh, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending that their experience certificate shall be rejected by the Bihar State University Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as “the Commission”) on the ground that it does not contain the Memo Number but as per the terms and conditions of Advertisement, the certificates produced by the petitioners in their respective subjects have duly been counter-signed by the Registrar of the concerned University.

3. Learned counsel for the Commission submits that the writ petition has been filed on mere apprehension and the same is speculative. The process of scrutiny, based upon various parameters, is going on.

4. In view of the fact that the present writ application has been filed on mere apprehension having no cause of action, the writ application is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

