

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.3238 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2022 Thana- SC/ST District- Supaul

-
1. LEELAM DEVI @ NEELAM DEVI WIFE OF LALESHWAR SAH
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - PIPRAKHURD, WARD - 7, P.S. AND
DISTRICT - SUPAUL
 2. JHABRI DEVI WIFE OF MANOJ SAH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE -
PIPRAKHURD, WARD - 7, P.S. AND DISTRICT - SUPAUL
 3. BEBI DEVI WIFE OF MOHAN SAH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE -
PIPRAKHURD, WARD - 7, P.S. AND DISTRICT - SUPAUL
 4. SANJAY SAH SON OF SUKHAAY SAH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE -
PIPRAKHURD, WARD - 7, P.S. AND DISTRICT - SUPAUL

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. CHANDESHWARI SHARMA SON OF LATE DOMI SHARMA
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - PIPRAKHURD, WARD - 7, P.S. AND
DISTRICT - SUPAUL

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Murari Narain Chaudhary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-09-2024 1 . Heard learned counsel for the appellants and the
State .

2. It is submitted by learned Special Public Prosecutor
for the State that the prayer for pre-arrest bail of these
appellants is not maintainable as cognizance has already been
taken by the court below also for the offences punishable under
the SC/ST Act. In this regard, reliance is placed in the case of
Bachu Das Vs. State of Bihar and others, reported in (2014) 3



Supreme Court Cases 471.

3 . In view of the aforesaid pronouncement of law rendered by Hon’ble the Supreme Court, appellants prayer of pre-arrest is refused.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

