

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1978 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17597 of 2022

=====

Chitrnanjan Ojha Son of Jag Narayan Ojha Resident of Village and P.O.-
Nimej, P.S. Buxar, District- Buxar, the Retired Professor, Post Graduate
Commerce Department, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Amir Subhani, son of not known to the petitioner, presently posted as the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri K.K. Pathak, Son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Rekha Kumari, wife of not known to the petitioner presently posted as the Director, Higher Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Dr. Shashi Pratap Shahi, son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. Dr. Ravi Prakash, son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
6. Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, Son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Binita Singh (SC- 28)
For the University	:	Mr. Amitabh Sohan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

9 10-04-2024 Heard Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Ms. Binita Singh, learned

SC-28 appearing on behalf of the State and Mr. Amitabh Sohan,

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Magadh University.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner informs that though the substantial amount under



different heads of the retiral dues has been paid to the petitioner but the up-to-date interest has not been calculated, the petitioner has not been provided with the calculation chart and the representation of the petitioner has also not been considered and disposed of.

3. Considering the aforesaid information that the substantial amount of retiral dues under different heads has been paid to the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the Finance Officer and the Registrar of the University must ensure to make payment of the remaining amount after calculating the interest and dispose of the representation, which has been filed by the petitioner, which is still pending, after giving calculation chart, so that the petitioner may get satisfied.

4. With the above observation/direction, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

