

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1976 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16939 of 2022

=====

Ram Bilash Singh Son of Sheo Govind Singh, Resident of Red House Compound, near Survey Office, P.O. and P.S. Rampur, District Gaya, the retired Associate Professor-cum- Head of Department, Department of Post Graduate Political Science, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Amir Subhani, son of not known to the petitioner presently posted as the Chief Secretary. Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri K.K. Pathak son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Additional Chief Secretary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Rekha Kumari wife of not known to the petitioner presently posted as the Director, Higher Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Dr. Shashi Pratap Shahi, son of not known to the petitioner, presently posted as the Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. Dr. Ravi Prakash, son of not known to the petitioner, presently posted as the Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
6. Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, son of not known to the petitioner presently posted as the Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Madan Jeet Kumar (GP-20)
For the University	:	Mr. Amitabh Sohan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

9 10-04-2024 Heard Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Madan Jeet Kumar, learned GP-20 appearing on behalf of the State and Mr. Amitabh Sohan, learned counsel for the Magadh University.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner informs that though the substantial amount under different heads of the retiral dues has been paid to the petitioner but the up-to-date interest has not been calculated, the petitioner has not been provided with the calculation chart and the representation of the petitioner has also not been considered and disposed of.

3. Considering the aforesaid information that the substantial amount of retiral dues under different heads has been paid to the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the Finance Officer and the Registrar of the University must ensure to make payment of the remaining amount after calculating the interest and dispose of the representation, which has been filed by the petitioner, which is still pending, so that the petitioner may get satisfied.

4. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

