

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10485 of 2024

Manoj Kumar Singh Son of late Bashishth Singh, Resident of Village-Maruan, P.S. Dinara, District- Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry & Department of Education, Government of India, New Delhi.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry & Department of Education, Government of India, New Delhi.
- 3. The National Medical Commission through its Chairman, New Delhi.
- 4. The Chairman, National Medical Commission through its Chairman, New Delhi.
- 5. National Testing Agency for NEET, New Delhi through its Secretary.
- 6. The Chairman, National Testing Agency for NEET, New Delhi.
- 7. The Secretary, National Testing Agency for NEET, New Delhi.
- 8. The University Grants Commission through its Chairman, New Delhi.
- 9. The Chairman, University Grants Commission New Delhi.
- 10. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saroj Kumar, Advocate
Dr. ITI Suman, Advocate
Ms. Bindu Kumari, Advocate
For Respondent Nos. 1,2: Dr. K.N. Singh, ASG
Mr. Deepak Kumar, Sr. CGC
Mr. Arvind Kumar, CGC
For the UGC : Mr. Lakmesh Marind, Advocate
For NMC : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, Sr. SC
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate



CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 18-07-2024

The prayers in the writ petition are ambitious and misconceived. The petitioner seeks to direct the respondents to increase the number of seats in the courses of M.B.B.S., M.S. and M.D. nationwide and also seeks admission to M.B.B.S. courses in the private as well as Government Medical Colleges of those persons who obtained 50 per cent marks in NEET.

2. The medical education in the country is regulated by the National Medical Commission, a statutory authority comprising of experts who decide the recognition of Medical Colleges and the number of seats to which admissions can be made. The decision so taken is dependent upon not merely the need for doctors but the infrastructure available, the faculty position and so on. This Court would not venture to issue directions to increase the number of seats in the medical courses as has been sought for when there is an expert body entrusted with the task.

3. As far as admissions, again there is a National Testing Agency which has to decide the manner of admissions



to medical courses as determined by the National Medical Commission.

4. We are of the firm opinion that no Public Interest Litigation can be filed on the above aspects. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	19.07.2024
Transmission Date	

