

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52512 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2021 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

=====

Pampu Singh @ Dharmendra Kumar, Son of Ram Naresh Singh, Resident of
Vill- Rejapur, P.S.- Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2024 1. Heard Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Md. Ataur Rahman, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 213 of 2021 dated 03.07.2021
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
324, 379, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. As per prosecution story, the informant alleged
that this petitioner along with 4-5 unknown persons abused and
assaulted him and one person, namely, Alok. It is further alleged
that these miscreants were having *danda* and knives in their hands
and they snatched a mobile phone and Rs. 20,000/- in cash from
them. It is further alleged that this petitioner assaulted the
informant by a sharp edged weapon on his right forearm.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



though against the petitioner, there is criminal antecedent of three cases but he is on bail in all the said cases, in fact the alleged occurrence as described in the FIR did not take place and the FIR was registered after the delay of one day without giving any explanation and the petitioner is co-villager of the informant, in between them, a dispute was already existing when the alleged occurrence is said to have taken place and there is a case and counter case in between both the parties.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Having considered the nature of allegation appearing against this petitioner who is alleged to have inflicted a blow at the right forearm of the informant by means of a sharp edged weapon and other allegation is that he and his companions were in drunken condition when they started abusing the members of the prosecution party and further, the petitioner has criminal antecedent of three cases as reflects from the order impugned, in my opinion, it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

