

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16965 of 2021

=====

Asha Raj Singh D/o Dudhnath Singh R/o Vill and PO-Harnathpur, P.S.-
Raghunathpur, Distt.-Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chairman, Central Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Central Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Central Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the CSBC	:	Mr.Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-02-2024

The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

“(i) To issue a Writ (S) MANDMUS in nature directing the respondents authorities to grant extension of time for PET acting upon the application made by petitioner on 09/02/2018.

(ii) Further to issue a Writ (S) MANDMUS in nature directing the respondents to fix a new date for PET of petitioner since selection process is still continued and has not been concluded.

(iii) To issue a writ MANDMUS in nature



directing the respondent to appoint the petitioner to the post of Constable if found fit in PET test.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the respondent-Central Selection Board of Constables has referred to paragraph no.14 of the counter affidavit, filed in the present case, to submit that there is no provision to grant relaxation to the pregnant candidates as far as extending the date of physical evaluation test is concerned, clause-14 of the result of written examination published by the Board clearly stipulates that the candidates must participate in the physical evaluation test on the fixed date and time and those who do not participate in the physical evaluation test on the fixed date and time, would not be given another opportunity and they would be declared as disqualified.

3. Reference has been made in this connection by the learned counsel for the respondents on a judgment rendered by the learned Division Bench of this Court, reported in



2019(2) PLJR 281 (The Chairman, Central Selection Board (Constable Appointment) & Anr. vs. Ishika Raj & Anr.).

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of this case, this Court finds that no extension of time can be granted to the petitioner to appear in the physical evaluation test, more particularly, considering the law laid down by the learned Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Ishika Raj** (supra), hence the present writ petition is dismissed being bereft of any merit.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.02.2024
Transmission Date	NA

