

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48854 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-380 Year-2023 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Rupesh Kumar Singh @ Rupesh Kumar SON OF RAMASHISH SINGH
VILLAGE- RAMGHAT, KOSHKASPUR, WARD NO. 5, PS-
NARPATGANJ, DIST- ARARIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramesh Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 380/2023 lodge on 11.07.2023 under
Section 302/201/120B/328 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, F.I.R. has been lodged
against two named accused persons including the present
petitioner who has been shown as suspected accused to whom
there is an allegation that he was having illicit relationship with
the mother of the deceased and to hide this mother of the
deceased has killed her daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel
also submits that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but he has
shown as suspected accused. The *Nanad* of the co-accused
namely Poonam Devi is alleged to be the eye witness. He
submits that the said Poonam Devi has made confession before



the Police in which she has disclosed the *modus-operandi* of the crime. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that antecedent of the petitioner is clean and he is unnecessarily been made accused in the present case. Counsel submits that in the investigation it has come somewhere that there is enimical relationship between the family of the deceased and the petitioner. Counsel further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean and the petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the F.I.R. it seems that the entire story of the crime is moving around the petitioner just to hide the illicit relationship between the alleged Poonam Devi and the petitioner.

6. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. As such, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected with liberty that he may renew his prayer for bail three months after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Sunnykr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

