

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56498 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2022 Thana- BEGUSARAI RAIL P.S. District-  
Begusarai

=====

MANIKANT PASWAN @ MANIKANT KUMAR S/O UMESH PASWAN  
R/O Village- Paharchak, P.S- Mufassil, Distt.- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |                     |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s :     | Mr.Vinod Gautam     |
| For the Opposite Party/s : | Mr. J.N.Thakur, APP |
|                            | Mr.Satyendra Prasad |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR  
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

7      22-08-2024                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. This is an application for regular bail on behalf  
of the petitioner for the offences alleged under Sections 304,  
356 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code, registered in connection  
with Begusarai Rail P.S.Case No. 71 of 2022 (S.T.No.48 of  
2023).

3. As per allegation, the informant, who is the  
resident of Nagaland, was travelling in 15632 Up Barmer  
Express Train. When the train was passing through Danauli  
Fulwaria railway station, one unknown miscreant snatched the  
purse of the sister-in-law. On being chased, the miscreants



jumped off the running train and in the process the sister-in-law of the informant too fell off the train. She became badly injured, a result of which she died.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is innocent and has falsely been implicated. His name has figured in the confessional statement of co-accused. The petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2022.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that as many as nine cases of similar nature are reported against the petitioner. He confessed to his guilt by giving vivid descriptions of the occurrence.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, and in view of the petitioner's role, leading to death of the victim **and also considering that the petitioner has criminal antecedents of nine cases of similar nature**, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner the privilege of bail, which is hereby rejected.

**(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)**

HR/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

