

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8644 of 2012

=====

Usha Devi Wife Of Sri Arun Kumar Sahu Resident Of Village-Chharapatti
Tumaul P.S. and Block-Ghanshyampur, District-Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga
3. The District Programme Officer, Darbhanga
4. The District Welfare Officer, Darbhanga
5. The Block Programme Office, Ghanshyampur, District-Darbhanga
6. The Child Development Programme Officer, Ghanshyampur, District-Darbhanga
7. The Mukhia Gram Panchayat Raj Tumaul, P.S. and Block-Ghanshyampur, District-Darbhanga
8. Jay Mala Devi Wife Of Sri Jibachh Sah Resident Of Village-Baya Nagar Tumaul, P.S. and Block-Ghanshyampur, District-Darbhanga

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mukesh Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Muj Iabaul Haque, GP 12
		Mr. Vasant Vikas, AC to GP 12
For the Respondent	:	Mr. Rajeev, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-01-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The present writ application has been filed directing
the respondents to restrain the fresh process of selection of
Anganwari Sevika at Anganwari Centre, Chharapatti, Centre
No. 14, Gram Panchayat Raj Tumaul, Block- Ghanshyampur,
District- Darbhanga.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has approached this Hon’ble Court for disposing of the representation which was filed before the competent authority (respondent no. 2) questioning the selection of private respondent no. 8 on the post of Anganwari Sevika in reference to the petitioner. And accordingly, the representation of the petitioner was disposed of after hearing the parties and during pendency of the writ application the selection process of the Anganwari Sevika at Anganwari Centre, Chharapatti, Centre No. 14, Gram Panchayat Raj Tumaul, Block- Ghanshyampur, District- Darbhanga was held and respondent no. 8 was selected but the petitioner has not chosen to challenge the selection of respondent no. 8.

4. In view of the aforesaid, there is no merit in the writ petition and the same stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.01.2024
Transmission Date	N/A

