

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11092 of 2023

=====

Yaqeub Kuraishi @ Yakub Kuraishi Son of Mohammad Bhola, Resident of
Village Purakhanchak, P.S. Dumaria, Purkhanchak, District-Gaya.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Patna, Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The D.D.C., Gaya.
4. The District Programme Coordinator (MGNREGA), Gaya, Dist- Gaya.
5. The Programme Officer, Block Dumaria, District-Gaya.
6. The Distirct Engineer, Jila Parishad, Gaya. ... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Ram Pravesh Nath Tiwari, Adv.
For the Respondents : Mr.Venkatesh Kirti Singh, AC to GA II

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

14 10-12-2024

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present Writ Petition with a leave to make a suitable representation to Respondent No. 3 along with requisite documents to evidence that the petitioner has worked for a period of 20 days in the construction of a Check Dam under the MGNREGA Scheme.

3. Learned counsel for the Respondents has stated that he has no objection if such a direction is given.

4. Having regard to the same, permission is granted.

5. The present Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with a leave to the petitioner to approach the



Respondent No. 3 by way of a representation along with the requisite documents to substantiate his claim that he has worked for a period of 20 days in the construction of a Check Dam under the MGNREGA Scheme within a period of four weeks from today. On such representation being given, the Respondent No. 3 shall consider the same and pass necessary orders strictly in accordance with law. In case the Respondent No. 3 comes to the conclusion that the claim of the petitioner is genuine he shall make necessary arrangement for payment of the amount. In case the Respondent No. 3 comes to the conclusion that the case of the petitioner does not have any merit for consideration he shall pass reasoned order giving the reason. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the representation. Any orders passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

6. With the above directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Shamshad/-

U			
---	--	--	--

