

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.3090 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2024 Thana- GORAUL District- Vaishali

-
1. MINA DEVI WIFE OF RAMA SINGH @ RAMANANDAN SINGH
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - MADHURAPUR, P.S. - GORAUL,
DISTRICT - VAISHALI
 2. RAMA SINGH @ RAMANANDAN SINGH SON OF LATE RAM
LAGAN SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - MADHURAPUR, P.S. -
GORAUL, DISTRICT - VAISHALI

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binay Krishna

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned
Special P.P. for of the State.

2. This appeal has been filed against the order dated
13.06.2024 passed by learned Exclusive Special Court, SC/ST
Act, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No.
174 of 2024, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342,
323, 324, 326, 332, 333, 353, 354, 224, 225, 307, 504, 506 of
the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(1)(r)(s), 3(2)(va), 3(2)(v)
of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act (for short “SC/ST Act”), whereby the prayer for
anticipatory bail of appellants has been rejected.

3. As per prosecution case, on the alleged date and time



of occurrence, while the informant, who was A.S.I. at the relevant time, arrested one accused Kanhai Kumar, in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No. 398/23 and proceeded towards police vehicle, in the meantime, 20 F.I.R. named accused persons including these appellants and 70-75 unknown persons, variously armed, surrounded and attacked the police party and tried to escape the co-accused Kanhai Kumar from their custody. It is further alleged that accused persons also committed *Maarpeet* with police personnel including lady constables and co-accused Ram Pravesh Singh bite the left ear of informant, due to which, informant fell down and sustained injury.

4. Considering the nature of accusation and the fact that accused persons caused hindrance in discharge of duties of police party, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the order impugned.

5. Accordingly, the appeal, which has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to appellants, is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

