

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51301 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2024 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

Ram Pravesh Manjhi, Son of Munshi Manjhi Resident of Village - Siya
Rampur, P.S.- Paliganj, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajbhushan Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 302, 304B, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that her daughter was married to Chandan
Manjhi and out of the wedlock, two children were born and for
sometimes she lived happily, but thereafter the accused started
torturing her for dowry. Further, on 21.02.2024, they killed her
daughter and thereafter, called and informed that her health is
deteriorating and is admitted in a hospital. Accordingly, she
along with her family members went to her daughter's



matrimonial home where unknown accused assaulted and the house was found locked, thereafter police was informed and the dead body of the deceased was found in a sack near Sikariya Bridge.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case based on confessional statement of Ramgati Manjhi in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioner is a co-villager as pleaded in I.A. No.01 of 2024 and has no concern with the family of the husband of the deceased, nor is related to them in any manner. It is thus submitted that it absolutely does not stand to reason that why the petitioner would have participated in the occurrence in killing of the deceased when he has no interest in the affairs of the husband of the deceased or his family members. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below



within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Danapur, Patna in connection with Paliganj P. S. Case No.75 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

