

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48453 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-333 Year-2022 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

=====

Bhanu Pratap Tiwary Son of Baban Tiwary Resident of Vill- Urda, P.S.-
Chenari, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Vindhya wasini Devi Wife of Bhanu Pratap Tiwary Resident of Vill- Urda,
P.S.- Chenari, District- Rohtas At present Village- Basini, P.O.- Nauhatta,
P.S.- Sabar , District- Kaimur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP.
	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, Adv.
	Mr. Abhijit Prabhat, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 21-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Petitioner, who is husband of informant, is said to have tortured upon her physically and mentally and ousted her from her matrimonial home in association of his family members over the dowry demand.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner is an innocent person and has committed no offence. Petitioner has neither made any dowry demand nor drove the informant out of her matrimonial home nor tormented her over the demand of dowry. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. He is still ready to keep her with full honour and dignity. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar**, reported in **2006 (3) PLJR 182**. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. In that view of the matter, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No. 333 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. Petitioner is ready to pay Rs.3000.00 (Rupees Three Thousand) per month to the informant in the second week of



every month. If the petitioner fails to pay the aforesaid amount on two consecutive months, informant shall be at liberty to move before the learned Court below for cancelling the bail bond of the petitioner.

7. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order being passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceedings.

8. Learned counsel for the informant is directed to furnish the bank account details of the informant. If she fails to furnish the same, the aforesaid amount will be deposited in the learned Court below which will be released in favour of the informant after she furnishes her bank account details.

9. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the learned Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Center for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

10. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

