

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10546 of 2024

=====

Satyadeo Jha @ Satyadev Jha, son of Late Jagannath Jha, Resident of village-
Sugouna, P.S- Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary of Education Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director of Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna through its Secretary.
4. The Administrator cum Chairman of Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
5. The Secretary of Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, of Madhubani district.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), of Madhubani district namely Mr. Jawed Alam son of Abdul Alam, Resident of village- Jangaliya, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.
8. The In-charge headmaster of Saraswati Bhawan Sanskrit Madhyamik School Sugouna, Raj Nagar, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jha, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (14)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 23-07-2024

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner by invoking extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeks a direction upon the respondents to ensure payment of remaining dues of arrears of differential amount of



5th and 6th revised pay-scale together with the statutory interest in the light of the judgment dated 13.08.2019 passed by a Division Bench of this Court in L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016 and other analogous cases.

3. Shorn of unnecessary details, learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that the issue raised before this Court has already been set at rest by a Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Baidyanath Jha and the State of Bihar and other analogous cases [L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016]**, the copy of which has been produced as Annexure-P/3 to the writ petition. Referring thereto, learned counsel further submits that the present writ petition may be disposed of in terms of the afore-noted judgment.

4. In view of the submissions made at the Bar, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed in **Baidyanath Jha** (supra), preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

5. In case, the claim of the petitioner finds favour and identical to those of the writ petitioner(s)/appellant(s) of L.P.A.



No. 43 of 2016 and other analgous cases, similar benefit(s) must
be accorded to him.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	23-07-2024
Transmission Date	

