

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50578 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-334 Year-2023 Thana- LAXMIPUR District- Jamui

Chandan Kumar Yadav @ Chandan Yadav Son Of Kuru Yadav @ Karu Yadav
Resident Of Village - Taradih, Police Station - Gidhaur, District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rudra Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-08-2024 Heard Mr. Rudra Pratap Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Arvindn Kumar Pandey, learned APP for
the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Laxmipur P.S. Case No. 334 of 2023 registered under
Sections 148, 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 427, 325, 504 and 506 of
the Indian Penal Code lodged on 19.07.2023 by the informant,
Parwin Kumar Yadav.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that while he was digging foundation for construction of his
house at Gidhaur Jhajha, Main Road, the accused persons armed
variously came and allegation against Suresh Yadav is of using
hammer to assault his father on head causing serious injury.
Further, accused, Pucho Yadav also gave 'iron rod' blow on his



head. As his father fell down on the ground, Chandan Yadav. after sat on his chest causing bleeding from his nose and mouth. He was taken to Primary Health Centre from were referred to Sadar Hospital, Jamui and later to Patna which followed the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is allegation against Suresh Yadav and Pucho Yadav also and the only allegation against him is of having sat over the chest which led to bleeding from nose and mouth

5. Learned APP Mr. Bharat Bhushan, opposes the prayer and submits that the kind of allegation that has come against the petitioner and the injury he gave actually proved fatal. He further submits that paragraph no.3 shows that he has eight criminal antecedent of almost same nature.

6. Considering the allegation that has come against the petitioner, his criminal antecedent and the submissions made by the learned APP, no case of anticipatory bail is made out. It is accordingly, rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

