

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2032 of 2021

-
1. Abdul Khaliq son of Munsar Ali resident of Salamat Nagar, Ladkaniya Tola, P.O. Katihar, P.S. Katihar, District- Katihar presently posted as an Assistant Teacher, Mohammad Baksh Taj Ali Islamia Senior Secondary School, Katihar, P.O. P.S. and District- Katihar.
 2. Mamta Kumari wife of Agam Prasad Singh resident of Durga Asthan, P.O. Katihar, P.S. Katihar, District- Katihar presently posted as an Assistant Teacher, Mohammad Baksh Taj Ali Islamia Senior Secondary School, Katihar, P.O. P.S. and District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Purnea Division, Purnea.
6. The District Education Officer, Katihar.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Katihar, District- Katihar.
8. The Principal, Mohammad Baksh Taj Ali Islamia Senior Secondary School, Katihar, P.O., P.S. and District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Bhushan Kumar Manglam
For the State : Mr.Shanker Kumar Thakur, AC to GP 27

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

7 04-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have challenged the order contained in letter no.1711 dated 17.09.2020 (Annexure-P-7 to the writ petition), by which,



the pay grade of the petitioners was reduced on the basis of the order passed in the case of Sudhir Jha, retired Teacher.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the pay was reduced the petitioners were not heard.

4. Considering this fact, the petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation before the respondent no.4 within a period of three weeks and the respondent no.4 is well advised to pass a reasoned and speaking order, after hearing the petitioners or their counsel, within a period of ten weeks of receipt of the representation. If an order is not passed within the stipulated period, respondent no.4 shall be personally held responsible for non-compliance of the order of this Court.

5. The letter dated 17.09.2020 (Annexure- P-7) is hereby set aside.

6. With the aforesaid direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

