

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11762 of 2024

=====

Yogendra Singh @ Yogendra Prasad Singh, Son of Late Hirday Narayan Singh, Resident of Village - Chakabdulganj, P.S.- Jandaha, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary/Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua, District - Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Labh, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Archana Meenakshee, GP-6.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-10-2024 Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed for seeking the
following relief(s)

“(1)...for issuance of appropriate writ/writs, order/orders and direction/directions for granting following reliefs to the petitioner.

(A) Certiorari for quashing and setting aside the order passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua vide memo No. 117 dated 14.02.2017 contained in Annexure-1 whereby and whereunder license of the petitioner's Fair Price Shop bearing No. 69/2007 has been cancelled with immediate effect as well as quashing and setting aside the order dated 25.04.2017 passed by the learned Collector, Vaishali in Case No. R-316/2016-17 contained in Annexure 4 whereby and where under appeal filed by the petitioner against the cancellation order has been rejected and quashing and setting aside the order dated 10.07.2018 passed by the learned Commissioner, Tirhut Division,



Muzaffarpur in P.D.S Revision Case No. 157/2017 contained in Annexure-5 whereby and where under revision filed by the petitioner against the appellate order has been rejected and further also quashing and setting aside the order dated 07.05.2024 passed by the learned Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department contained in Annexure-6 whereby and where under representation petition filed by the petitioner in the light of the order dated 28.02.2024 passed by this Hon'ble Court has also been rejected. (B) A mandamus commanding the Respondents to restore the petitioner's license as before and to make allotment for the petitioner's shop."

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has stated that in the Show Cause Notice issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer there is no proposal for cancellation of the license. Learned counsel has stated that non-mentioning of the proposal for cancelling his license is contrary to the provisions of order 27(ii) of the Bihar Targeted Public Distribution System (Control) Order, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Order, 2016').

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied on the judgment of the full Bench passed in CWJC No. 21202 of 2021 and analogous cases dated 26.09.2023 to buttress his contention that non-mentioning of the proposed action in the show-cause notice is bad in law and contrary to Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016.

5. Learned counsel has stated in view of the above



mentioned provisions of law and judgment relied by the petitioner, the impugned order may be set aside and matter remanded back to the authorities concerned for issuing a fresh show-cause notice in terms of order 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016 and thereafter take necessary action.

6. Per contra, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has vehemently opposed the very maintainability of the writ petition and stated that the present writ petition is not maintainable as the petitioner has exhausted all the remedies and all the authorities have found that the petitioner has violated the provisions of the Control Order, 2016. Learned counsel has therefore prayed this Hon'ble Court to dismiss the present writ petition.

7. This Hon'ble Court in CWJC No. 21202 of 2021 and analogous cases has held as under:

“19. Accordingly, we answer the reference as under:-

It is mandatory for a licensing authority issuing a notice under order 27(ii) to a licensee to mention that there is a proposal for cancellation of his license, failing which such notice cannot be treated to be a valid notice of giving sufficient opportunity to the licensee to state his case under order 27(ii) of the BTPDS Control Order.”

8. A perusal of the show-cause notice issued to the petitioner does not reveal that there is any proposal of the action sought to be taken against the petitioner. Therefore, the same



has to held as bad, illegal, contrary to the provisions of Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order and has to necessarily set aside.

9. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances and the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court, the present CWJC is allowed. The impugned order dated 14.02.2017 passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua, order dated 25.04.2017 passed by Collector, Vaishali, order dated 10.07.2018 passed by the Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur and order dated 07.05.2024 passed by the Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department are set aside. The matter is remanded back to the Sub-divisional Officer for issuing a fresh show-cause notice to the petitioner strictly in compliance with the provisions of Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016 and call for his explanation by giving him reasonable time.

10. On such show-cause notice being served, the petitioner shall file his explanation within the stipulated time. On receipt of the explanation submitted by the petitioner the authority concerned shall pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with law duly taking into consideration the explanation submitted by the petitioner.

11. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing.



The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Any order passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

12. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is allowed to the extent indicated above.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

shakir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

