

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10466 of 2024

=====

Arun Kumar Son of Tulsi Singh Residence of Village Bauwan, Post Kinjer,
P.S. Sigori District Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Patna, District Patna, Bihar.
6. The District Officer, Patna Collectorate, Rural Development Agency, District-Patna, Bihar.
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Patna Collectorate, Rural Development Agency, District-Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Addl. Advocate General 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner informs this
Court that the petitioner has already filed his representation
before the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of
Bihar on 18.08.2023 and the same is pending till date.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the
representation of the petitioner is required to be disposed of



after giving the petitioner due opportunity of hearing and also considering the order passed in **C.W.J.C. No. 3869 of 2023** in the case of **Hridya Nand Rai Vs. State of Bihar and Ors.**, in which the petitioner in that case was also as Panchayat Rojgar Sewak under the MGNREGA.

4. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner, the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, is directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law which is pending for a long period of time. In case he finds that the petitioner do not deserve any relief in that case, the petitioner is required to be given due opportunity of hearing before passing an order in accordance with law.

5. The above exercise is directed to be concluded within a period of two months from the date of communication of this order.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

