

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10531 of 2024

-
1. Amit Kumar Son of Indrakant Mahaseth, Resident of House Number 301, Echelon Institute of Technology, Village - Kabulpur Tigaon, Faridabad, Haryana - 121101.
 2. Kundan Kumar, son of Dharendra Prasad Sah, resident of village Lakshminia, P.O. Chhajana, Chhojrena, District - Madhubani, Bihar - 847108.
 3. Nitish Kumar, son of Rajendra Paswan, resident of Village - Bind, District - Nalanda, Bihar-803107.
 4. Vikrant Kumar, son of Ravindra Prasad, resident of Post Office - Eatwan, Arkey, Erki, Mohanpur and District - Gaya, Bihar - 824232.
 5. Sushant Anand, son of Bindu Prajapati, Resident of Bageshwari Gumti, Bindu Gali, District - Gaya, Bihar - 823002.
 6. Dheeraj Kumar, son of Ramayan Singh, resident of Village - Sion, Bhabhua, District - Kaimur, Bihar - 821101.
 7. Priyatam Kumar, son of Bechunandan Kushyait, resident of Gandhi Tola, Mohalla - Tekuna, District - Supaul, Bihar - 852125.
 8. Akram Saleem Hawari, son of Saleem Rampuri, Resident of Rampur Kondar, Kesaria, East Champaran, Bihar - 845424.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Department of Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road Patna through its chairman.
4. The Joint Secretary cum Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road Patna.
5. The All India Council Of Technical Education, Nelson Mandela Marg, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi -110070 Through its chairman.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal
For the State	:	Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey (AC to AP 27)
For the BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey
		Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha
For the AICTE	:	Ms. Archana

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-07-2024



Heard the parties.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have filed several representations before the respondent no.2 but till date no order has been passed.
3. Accordingly, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a fresh representation before the respondent no.2 within two weeks’ along with a copy of this order and other documents in support of their claim and the respondent no.2 shall dispose of the representation of the petitioner within a period of eight weeks’ by way of passing a speaking and reasoned order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.
4. It is made clear that the respondent no.2 shall be held responsible non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	15.07.2024
Transmission Date	NA

