

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10249 of 2023

-
1. Sharda Devi W/o Yogenra Sah, resident of Naya Tola, P.S. and District - Katihar.
 2. Baleshwar Singh @ Balesar Singh, S/o Basudeo Singh, resident of Village-Udama Rekha, P.S. - Muffasil, District - Katihar.
 3. Md. Ansanul Haque, S/o Sansul Haque, resident of Village - Barbanna, P.S. and District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Katihar.
3. The Additional Collector, Katihar.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Katihar.
5. The Sub-Division Officer, Katihar.
6. The Circle Officer, Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Nutan Sahay, A.C. to A.A.G.-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2024 Heard Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha, learned Senior

Counsel for the petitioner duly assisted by Mr. Bhola Prasad and

Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned A.C. to A.A.G.-12

2. The present petition has been preferred for the:

*issuance of an appropriate, writ order or
direction directing the respondents concern (The*



Collector or the Addl. Collector) to dispose of the Land Settlement Case No. 01/2015-16 in which the petitioners have appeared and submitted his show cause but reason based known to the authority the case is pending since last eight years and the petitioner who one purchases and Jamabandi is existing their name are facing trouble.

3. At the outset, learned State Counsel pointed out that though the petitioner want direction against the Katihar Municipal Corporation, the same has not been impleaded as party-respondent.

4. In that background, learned Senior Counsel submits that the petitioner has now limited its prayer inasmuch as the Municipal Corporation, Katihar has approached the Circle Officer, Katihar in Land Settlement Case No. 01 of 2015-16 in which the petitioner will be appearing before the said authority but the same is pending since last nine years.

5. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioner to appear before the Revenue Authority who shall see to it that as matter is of 2015-16, it is concluded at an earliest.

6. This Court observes that the concerned Revenue Authority must take into account that nine years have been



lapsed without the case being disposed of and as such, it is high time that the same comes to a logical end.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

