

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46261 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-533 Year-2021 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-  
Saran

=====

Raja Nat @ Raja Kumar @ Raja Kumar Nat @ Raja Nutt, Son Of Manoj Nat  
Resident Of Village- Purai, Ps- Dariyapur, Distt- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Mona Kumari, Wife Of Raja Nat @ Raja Kumar @ Raja Kumar Nat @ Raja Nutt Resident Of Village- Purai, Ps- Dariyapur, Distt- Saran At Present D/O Dinesh Nat, Resident Of Village- Bajid Bhorha, Mirjapur, Ps- Madhowra, Distt- Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                         |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh  |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Ramesh Chandra      |
| For the Complainant  | : | Mr. Krishna Kumar Yadav |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      19-03-2024                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
counsel for the opposite party no.2 and learned APP for the  
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in Complaint Case No.533 of 2021 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 323, 325, 379, 498(A)  
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the  
D. P. Act and thereafter, the learned Sessions Judge, Saran  
at Chapra takes cognizance under Sections 323, 498(A) and  
34 of the I.P.C.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in compliance of the order dated 22.02.2024, did not represent before the Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra, but then, is not in a position to furnish any explanation that as to why the representation was not filed before the Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra in compliance of the order dated 22.02.2024 by the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. submits that when the case was taken up on 22.02.2024, a plea was raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner that opposite party no.2 has performed her second marriage with Sunny Kumar and out of the wedlock, a child was also born. It was because of this fact that this Court had directed the Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra to get the matter enquired from the S.H.O., Maker Police Station. The learned A.P.P. next submits that it appears that the petitioner, only with a view to seek stay, made a false submission or else he would have represented before the Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra for getting the matter enquired.



5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Additional P. P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail is rejected.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

