

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46708 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2020 Thana- FOREST (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Gaya

=====

Krishna Yadav Son of Janki Yadav VILLAGE- MATGADHA, P.O.-
AMBATARI, P.S.- MOHNPUR, DISTT.- GAYA, BIHAR-824232

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anmol Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 33 1(b) of the Indian Forest Act in connection with Forest Case No.87 of 2020.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of three cases and has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant.

4. It is next submitted that the informant (Range Officer) alleges that illegal mining of Morram and stones was being done by some labourers and the labourers disclosed that they were working at the behest of petitioner, further the recovered articles were seized and seizure list was prepared.



5. The learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated based on disclosure made by the labourers who are alleged to have been working within the forest area. It is also submitted that though informant alleges that Morram and stones chips were seized and seizure list was prepared, but then from perusal of page-11, it would manifest that the seizure list does not record about the seizure of any articles. It is next submitted petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial.

6. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Gaya in connection with Forest Case No.87 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. However, in the event if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after being released on



anticipatory bail is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the trial court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

