

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56801 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-359 Year-2020 Thana- KHUSRUPUR District- Patna

=====

LAKSHMAN SAH @ LAKSHMAN KUMAR @ LAXMAN SAO S/O
LATE ARVIND SAO @ LATE ARVIND SAH R/O VILLAGE- BADI
SANGATPUR, P.S- KHUSHRUPUR, DISTT.- PATNA, BIHAR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Avinash Kumar, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ganesh Prasad Singh,

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 23-08-2024 1. The present petition is by way of third attempt, at the behest of the petitioner, for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial No.516 of 2021, arising out of Khushrupur P.S. Case No.359 of 2020, registered for offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, inasmuch as the earlier prayers made by the petitioner for grant of regular bail have all stood rejected by this Court.

2. The informant is stated to have solemnized marriage of his daughter with the petitioner in the month of July, 2020, whereafter, the daughter of the informant had gone to her matrimonial home, however, after some time, the accused persons including the petitioner herein started harassing the



daughter of the informant both mentally and physically. On 23.11.2020, the accused persons including the petitioner herein had demanded a sum of Rs. 2 lacs by way of dowry, however, on account of non-fulfillment of the same, the informant was threatened that his daughter would be killed and subsequently, on 24.11.2020, the informant came to know that the accused persons including the petitioner herein have killed the daughter of the informant on account of non-fulfillment of the demand for dowry.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 16.04.2021 and there is no progress whatsoever, in the ongoing trial, hence, a sympathetic view be taken and the petitioner be granted the privilege of regular bail.

4. Per contra, the learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into account the materials available on record, this Court finds that there are sufficient materials to show the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged crime i.e. killing his wife on account of non-fulfillment of the demand for



dowry, apart from the fact that there is no change in
circumstance so as to warrant reconsideration of the prayer of
the petitioner for grant of bail, hence, I do not find any merit in
the present petition, thus, the same stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

