

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10184 of 2023

=====

Shri Krishna Pandey son of Shri Pashupati Pandey, Resident of Village Pongadhi, PS Dinara, Post Mednipur, District Rohtas, Mednipur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Rohtas.
2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
3. Sub Divisional Officer, Vikramganj, Rohtas.
4. The Circle Officer, Dinara, Rohtas.
5. Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Board through its Chairman, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust Board, Vidypati Marg, Patna.
6. The Chairman, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust Board, Vidypati Marg, Patna.
7. The Superintendent, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust Board, Vidypati Marg, Patna.
8. Shri Pramod Kumar Singh Ji, son of Late Shiv Kumar Singh, resident of Panchayat Mednipur, Post Ganj Bhadsara, P.S.-Dinara, Dist-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Manini Jaiswal, Advocate Ms. Ankita Kumari, Advocate Ms. Komal Mishra, Advocate Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For BSRTB	:	Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha (SC-19) Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 19-01-2024 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Board and Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, learned SC-19 for the State.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the order impugned in ‘Annexure-3



and 5' can well be reconsidered by the Board on the ground that no opportunity was provided to the petitioner to be heard and place his case.

3. Considering the aforesaid fact and submission made on behalf of the petitioner, the orders contained in 'Annexure-3 and 5', which have been passed without hearing the petitioner are set aside and quashed.

4. The petitioner is directed to appear before the Board within one week along with all the evidence in his favour, which are required to be taken into consideration by the Board before deciding the case after giving due opportunity of hearing to all the affected parties.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

