

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48482 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2024 Thana- BYPASS District- Patna

-
1. RAVI MEHTA SON OF MUNNA MEHTA R/O- MOHALLA-MAHARANI COLONY, RASHIDA CHAK, P.S.- BY-PASS, DISTT-PATNA
 2. MINASHI KUMARI @ MINAKSHI KUMARI D/O- OF MUNNA MEHTA R/O- MOHALLA- MAHARANI COLONY, RASHIDA CHAK, P.S.- BY-PASS, DISTT- PATNA
 3. NEERU DEVI WIFE OF MUNNA MEHTA R/O- MOHALLA-MAHARANI COLONY, RASHIDA CHAK, P.S.- BY-PASS, DISTT-PATNA
 4. MUNNA MEHTA SON OF LATE SITA RAM MAHTO R/O- MOHALLA-MAHARANI COLONY, RASHIDA CHAK, P.S.- BY-PASS, DISTT-PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Krishna Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-08-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Bypass P.S. Case No. 124 of 2024 instituted under Sections 304(B), 34 of the Indian Penal Code lodged on 29.03.2024 by the informant, Vinesh Prasad.

3. As per the prosecution story, the deceased was married to the son of the petitioner's family but was always tortured for dowry. The further allegation is that on 28.03.2024, the informant was informed by the husband that his daughter is



no more. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that actually she committed suicide, it was informed to the informant's side but on the pressure of the locals, FIR lodged. He submits that the bonafide can be seen that from the day, the lady committed suicide, the husband is in custody. All these petitioners are father-in-law, mother-in-law, sister-in-law and brother-in-law.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that the allegation is against all the accused persons.

6. Though the allegation is there, the husband is in custody since the date of occurrence and did not escape, these petitioners are family members, FIR is there, they will be facing the trial, this Court deems it fit and proper to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the subordinate court within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Bypass P.S. Case No. 124 of 2024 to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-Vith, Patna City, Patna subject to the conditions as laid down under



Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also the other conditions.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member of the petitioners who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall co-operate in the investigation and make themselves available to the police as and when required;

(iv) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

