

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1819 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3329 of 2022

=====

Ranjit Paswan S/o Late Bangali Paswan Resident of Village- Dekpura, P.S.-
Rahau, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Sri Amir Subhani,
Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Principal Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Shashank Subhankar, Collector, Nalanda.
4. Sri Rajan Kumar, The Deputy Collector of Land Reform, Hilsa, Nalanda.
5. Sri Anuj Kumar, The Circle Officer, Ishlampur, District- Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 20-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

AC to learned AAG-12 for the State. A supplementary show-
cause has been filed on behalf of the State wherein it is stated
that after thorough departmental inquiry, the petitioner was
found guilty for the charges framed against him in प्रपत्र 'क' and
accordingly in the departmental proceeding, the petitioner has
been punished.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after
the order of this Court, the disciplinary authority instead of
proceeding with the first inquiry report decided to conduct a

fresh inquiry and this time an inquiry report was submitted against the petitioner, on the basis of the said inquiry report, the petitioner has been dismissed from service. Some issues have been raised saying that the whole inquiry was again conducted with a malafide intention as the person who had approved the charge memo was made Inquiry Officer.

3. Be that as it may, this Court is of the opinion that the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be gone into in the contempt application. Since the final order of punishment has already been passed, this Court finds no reason to proceed with the contempt application. It is being disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to seek his remedy as may be advised to him against the order of punishment in appropriate jurisdiction.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--