

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47891 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2024 Thana- BUXAR INDUSTRIAL District- Buxar

Bhirgu Nath Yadav @ Bhiru Yadav Son Of Muni Nath Yadav R/o Village-
Umarpur, P.S.- Buxar Industrial Area, Distt.- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr.Kamal Deo Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Asha Devi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-08-2024 Heard Mr.Dr.Kamal Deo Sharma, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Ms.Asha Devi, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Buxar (Industrial)P.S.Case No.98 of 2024, FIR dated 06.05.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment)Act,2018.

3. Recovery is of 35.280 liters of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. Further submits that it appears from the FIR as well as the seizure list that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has



been made from the E-Rikshaw and the petitioner is neither the driver nor the owner of the E-Rikshaw in question and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the co-accused person, namely, Bhola Prasad Gupta and the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the co-accused person, namely, Bhola Prasad Gupta. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioners under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the co-accused person, namely, Bhola Prasad Gupta, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, Excise Court No.-1, Buxar in connection with Buxar (Industrial)P.S.Case No.98 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

