

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11167 of 2023

=====

Manish Kumar, S/o Lalita Kishore Prasad, R/o Village- Barwa, P.S.- Areraj,
District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Revenue Department, Government of Bihar.
2. The District Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
3. The Additional Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Areraj, East Champaran.
5. The Circle Officer, Harsiddhi, East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Saurav Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-01-2024

1. Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. This writ application has been filed for the

following reliefs:-

“A. For issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari to set aside the order dated 15.03.2023 passed by the Circle Officer, Harsiddhi and he also be directed to issue mutation order in favour of the petitioner in the light of the observation made by the D.C.L.R. in order dated 07.09.2022 passed in Mutation Appeal Case No. 14/22-23.

B. For any other reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State raises preliminary objection to the effect



that the petitioner has got alternative remedy of appeal against the order impugned before the D.C.L.R. under the Bihar Land Mutation Act, 2011.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above proposition.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter in its extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction.

6. This writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move before the competent authority, which may be available to him, in accordance with law.

7. It goes without saying that if any question of limitation arises before the competent authority, the same shall be considered, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the issue before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

