

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46052 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-889 Year-2021 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Khilesh Kumar S/O Late Hari Lal Tanti R/o Manpur Pehani P.O and P.S.-
Buniyadganj, Distt-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Suman Kumari W/O Akhilesh Kumar, D/O Raj Jiwan Chaudhary R/O
Village-Raipurchor, P.S.-Shivsagar, Distt-Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saurav Barial

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Indu Kumari Srivastava

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 29-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State and
the opposite party no.2.

2. The petitioner, who is husband of opposite party no.2,
apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 498A and 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Mediator's report dated 22.11.2024 reveals that in spite
of efforts, dispute between the parties could not be resolved
through process of mediation. However, it is submitted by
learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
offers and undertakes that he is ready to give maintenance
amount of Rs. 5000/-per month, starting from this month to the
opposite party no.2.



4. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 5000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Rohtas at Sasaram in Complaint Case No. 889/2021, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

(i) Opposite party no.2. would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(ii) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the opposite party no.2.

(iii) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(iv) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the



parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

