

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48012 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2017 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

Mukesh Kumar Singh @ Mukesh Singh S/O Late Shivchandra Singh R/O
Village- Madhopur Digharua, P.S- Tajpur, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 12-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 247 of 2024, arising out of Tajpur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2017, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 448, 341, 323, 324, 302, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner along with others caused multiple injuries by means of knife on the person of Pramod Singh (brother of informant) causing his death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. After submission of charge-sheet, cognizance has been taken in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP for the State has further submitted that specific allegation of stabbing is against petitioner and two other co-accused resulting into death of informant's brother. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The Trial Court is directed to take all necessary steps to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

8. If the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period of one year, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his



prayer for bail before the Court below which will be considered
on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

