

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2056 of 2021

-
1. Chandrika Prasad son of Late Visheshwar Prasad resident of Village- Nakatpura, P.O.- Muraura, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.
 2. Ramkeshwar Prasad son of Late Hari Prasad resident of Village- Nakatpura, P.O.- Muraura, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.
 3. Bhishundev Prasad Yadav son of Late Prabhu Yadav resident of Village- Nakatpura, P.O.- Muraura, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Nalanda.
3. The Land Acquisition Officer, Nalanda.
4. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Biharsharif, Nalanda.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Biharsharif, Nalanda.
6. The Circle Officer, Biharsharif, Nalanda.
7. The Director, National Highway Authority of India, (NHAI), Bihar Unit, D- 63, Krishnapuri, Patna.
8. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, (NHAI), Bihar Unit, D- 63, Krishnapuri, Patna.
9. The Project Manager, N.H.- 82 (Biharsharif- Barbigaha- Mokama), 2 lane, Project Work Unit, D- 63, Krishnapuri, Patna- 2.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s :

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for:

*issuance of an appropriate writ,
order or direction commanding upon the
respondent no. 6 Circle Officer to demarcate
the land bearing Plot NO. 474 under Khata*



No. 49 and Plot No. 477 under Khata No. 67 of the petitioners and fixed the North boundary of the said plots which are situated in the South side of N.H. 82 for redressal of the claim of petitioners that the South Sides of the said plots measuring area of 15 decimals was forcibly encroached by the respondent-NHAI and after demarcation if the claim was found true the respondent-NHAI be directed to vacate the same immediately and imposed the cost against the respondent-NHAI of Rs. 10,00,000/-(Ten lakhs) to the petitioners for compensation of the petitioners for their harassment physically, mentally and economically.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the measurement has been done in the matter and in that background, the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

